

## PUBLIC SERVICE MANAGEMENT DIVISION DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT

## SUPERVISING OFFICER'S POST - TRAINING EVALUATION QUESTIONNAIRE

The purpose of this questionnaire is to evaluate how effective the training course your subordinate attended was. The questionnaire is designed to assess if there has been an improvement in your subordinate's job performance and in particular the implementation of Departmental/Unit Work Plans.

A Similar questionnaire has been to your subordinate(s) who attended the course.

Please complete the questionnaire as honestly and objectively as possible.

Part 1

Name	of former trainee: _										
Ministry/Province/Institution:Department:											
Name	of course attended:										
Date a	attended:			(	Course :	Duratio	n:				
Part 2	2										
1.	To what extent has the trainee been able to achieve the objectives/targets shown in the Departmental/Unit Work Plans before the training?										
	Not at all	1	2	3	4	5	Very Much				
2.	To what extent was the trainee able to achieve the objectives and targets stated in your Departmental/Unit Work plans after the training?										
	Not at all	1	2	3	4	5	Very Much				
3.	To what extent do you think this training has impacted on the trainee's job performance?										
	Not at all	1	2	3	4	5	Very Much				
4.	Has the trainee becourse to his/her i		to app	oly kno	wledge,	, skills	and attitudes acquired f	rom the			

		Yes				No						
5.		If the answer inhibiting the							-			ctors in
		(a) Lack of us		ding fro	m his	/her sub	ordin	ates an	d/or		l	
		(b) You have	other pr	riorities								
		(c) Constant	changes	within t	the Mi	nistry/P	rovin	ce/Inst	itution			
		(d) The syste enough	ms, proc	edures	and wo	ork proc	esses	s are no	t flexible		I	
		(e) Levels of	authorit	y and re	sponsi	ibilities	are n	ot clear	:			
		(f) Not enoug	gh time d	lue to pi	ressure	e of wor	k					
		(g) Learning job	content	of the co	ourse v	was not	relev	ant to c	current		l	
		(h) Departme	ntal/Uni	t Work	Plans	are not	clear	and sp	ecific			
		(i) Lack of re	sources								I	
		(j) Others, (sp	pecify):									
6	5.	If the 'lac' application or resources lac'	f the ac									
			C									
			(a) Fin									
			(b) Eq	uipment	t							
			(c) Sta	.ff								
			(d) Inf	ormatio	n Tecl	hnology	,					
			(e) Oth	ners, (sp	ecify)	:						
7.		To what exte	nt do yo	u think	the tra	ining ha	as ber	nefited	the organ	isation?		
		Not a	t all	1	2	3	4	5	Very	y much so	O	
8.		To what exteresult of the t				en able t	to inf	luence	and assis	st the fol	lowing sta	aff as a

	(a) Subordinates Not at all	1	2	3	4	5	Very	much			
	(b) Senior Officers										
	Not at all	1	2	3	4	5	Very	much			
	© Colleagues										
	Not at all	1	2	3	4	5	Very	much			
9.	Do you consider t performance targets			-			-	adequate to meet the?			
	Not at all add	equate	1	2	3	4	5	fully adequate			
10.	If you did not circle (5) in question 9 above, please tick the appropriate box to show why you don't consider the trainee's job performance to be adequate.										
	(a) He/She requires	more tr	aining								
	(b) The trainee is in the wrong job										
	(c) The trainee can not achieve the expectations										
	(d) The trainee needs more time to practice skills										
	(e) Follow up suppo to apply new ski										
	(f) The current structure may be inhibiting the trainee										
	(g) Others, (specify)										
11.	If you circled (e) in	questio	n 10, p	lease in	dicate t	the type	of suppo	rt.			
12.	Any other comment	s relatii	ng to th	e traine	e's trai	ning.					

Please ensure that you have answered all the questions before returning this questionnaire.

Thank you.